EXHIBIT I

	Page 1		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY		
2	CASE NO. 2:19-MD-02904-MCA-MAH		
3			
4			
	IN RE: AMERICAN MEDICAL : VIDEOTAPED		
5	COLLECTION AGENCY, INC., DEPOSITION OF:		
	CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY :		
6	BREACH LITIGATION MICHELENA HARKINS		
	:		
7	This Document Relates To: (VIA ZOOM)		
	Quest/Optum Track :		
8	X		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13	TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of		
14	the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as		
15	taken remotely by and before SEVA FLICSTEIN,		
16	Certified Court Reporter (New Jersey License		
17	No. 30XI000141300, California Certificate		
18	No. CSR 8727), Registered Merit Reporter,		
19	Certified Realtime Reporter, witness located in		
20	Punta Gorda, Florida, on Friday, April 7, 2023,		
21	commencing at 9:01 in the forenoon Eastern		
22	Standard Time.		
23			
24			
25			

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	STUEVE SIEGEL HANSON LLP	
	BY: AUSTIN MOORE, ESQ.	
4	BRANDI SPATES, ESQ.	
	460 Nichols Road, Suite 200	
5	Kansas City, MO 64112	
_	(816) 714-7100	
6	moore@stuevesiegel.com	
7	spates@stuevesiegel.com	
7 8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
9		
10	SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP	
Ξ.0	BY: HEATHER SULTANIAN, ESQ.	
11	One South Dearborn	
	Chicago, Illinois 60603	
12	(312) 853-7714	
	hsultanian@sidley.com	
13	Attorneys for Quest Diagnostics	
14		
15		
	ALSTON & BIRD, LLP	
16	BY: DONALD HOUSER, ESQ.	
	SARAH LEE, ESQ.	
17	One Atlantic Center	
1.0	1201 West Peachtree Street	
18	Suite 4900	
19	Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3424 (404) 881-7806	
19	donald.houser@alston.com	
20	sarah.lee@alston.com	
20	Attorneys for Optum360	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
Page 3
 1
     A P P E A R A N C E S (Cont'd.):
 2
 3
            LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP
            BY: ABAIGEAL FRANSON
 4
            77 Water Street
            Suite 2100
            New York, New York 10005
 5
            (212) 232-1300
 6
            Abaigeal.Franson@lewisbrisbois.com
            Attorneys for Sonic
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
     ALSO PRESENT:
13
            BRIAN W. THOMSON, ESQ.
14
            Senior Associate General Counsel -
            Optum Litigation at UnitedHealth Group
15
            MARC FRIEDMAN
16
            Legal Video Specialist
17
            BAILEY NEHER
            Technical Concierge
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 7
1	Solutions.
2	I am not related to any parties in
3	this action or financially interested in the
4	outcome.
5	If there are any objections to
6	these proceedings, please state them now.
7	Hearing no objection, counsel will
8	be noted on the stenographic record.
9	At this time, our court reporter
10	will swear in our witness, and we can proceed.
11	MICHELENA HARKINS,
12	residing at 12466 Gallagher Boulevard,
13	Port Charlotte, Florida 33981, having
14	been duly sworn by the Certified Court
15	Reporter, testifies as follows:
16	
17	EXAMINATION
18	
19	BY MR. MOORE:
20	Q. Good morning, Ms. Harkins. My
21	name is Austin Moore. I represent the consumer
22	plaintiffs in this litigation.
23	Can you state your full name for
24	the record.
25	A. Yes. It's Michelena Harkins.

Page 164 Object to form. 1 MS. SULTANIAN: Α. Less than 5 percent. 3 Why did Quest instruct the Ο. agencies to stop rebilling as a result of the 4 5 transition to ICD-10? The ICD-10 codes were a longer 6 Α. 7 character length than ICD-10 [sic] and we were 8 not doing programming in our system for the 9 collection agency files to extend that character 10 length; therefore, the codes would be invalid. 11 So around that time you had a Ο. 12 discussion about why would we send diagnosis 13 codes at all if none of the agencies are 14 performing rebilling? 15 MR. HOUSER: Object to form. 16 Same instruction regarding 17 attorney-client privilege. To the extent you can answer without revealing attorney-client 18 19 communications, you may do so. 20 Α. Yes, that is correct. 21 Who did you discuss that issue Ο. 2.2 with? Objection to form. 23 MR. HOUSER: 2.4 That would have been discussed Α. 2.5 with all of the agencies that performed

Page 165 1 rebilling for us. 2. Ο. Do you recall the form of those discussions with AMCA specifically? 3 MR. HOUSER: Objection to form. 4 5 Α. That would have been through phone calls, conversations, and email communications. 6 7 Who would have been included on Ο. those email communications? 8 9 MR. HOUSER: Objection to form. 10 Α. So for the agencies, it would have 11 been our client liaison. And then on the Quest 12 side, I would have either sent the email or Del 13 Howard. And so for AMCA, the client 14 Ο. liaison was David Ulrich? 15 16 MS. SULTANIAN: Object to form. 17 Α. That is correct. 18 So if there were email Ο. 19 communications between Quest and AMCA discussing 20 the transfer of diagnosis codes sent, those 21 emails would have included you and/or Del Howard 2.2 and Mr. Ulrich? 23 MR. HOUSER: Objection to form, mischaracterizes the testimony. 24 2.5 It would have included at least Α.

Page 173 did anyone else on the call speak up to ask 1 2. questions or to push back against your 3 statement? MR. HOUSER: Objection to form. 4 5 No. IT when you're on a prioritization call would always ask if there 6 7 was a workaround, I guess you could call it, and they would always ask if it was a 8 9 compliance-related issue. So those types of 10 questions would have been asked at the time. 11 What were your answers to those Ο. 12 types of questions? 13 MR. HOUSER: Objection to form. So I would have said that -- just 14 Α. 15 what I stated earlier, that by continuing to 16 send the data, it would be invalid. 17 But the workaround in the meantime 18 would be that the agencies were instructed to 19 not use those diagnosis codes because they were 20 invalid, and the agencies had already been 21 instructed to not rebill on our behalf. 2.2 Ο. What did the prioritization 23 committee ultimately decide with respect to your 24 clarity request to stop sending diagnosis codes to the agencies? 25